Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,045	SHACKLEFORD, J. BARRY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>abn'd</u>		
(1) Ardin Marschel(SPE).	(3)	
(2) <u>Denise Saffold (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 19/11/05		
	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Saffold indicated that a response was filed to the office action, mailed 6/29/04, and that a FAX log of FAXes sent to the PTO could be provided. Ms. Saffold, however, was informed, via voicemail today, that such a log may document submission of said response, but that this is a Petitionable matter to be reviewed during Revival Petition consideration and that at present a Notice of Abandonment will be sent.